



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	•
	10/650,053 08/26/2003 7590 06/10/2004		Gerd Conrad	2941/211-56	7380	
				EXAMINER		•
	LERNER ANI	LERNER AND GREENBERG, P.A.			GUSHI, ROSS N	
	POST OFFICE BOX 2480 HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480					
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2833		

DATE MAILED: 06/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/650,053	CONRAD, GERD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ross N. Gushi	2833				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 26 August 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 1-15 are objected to under 37 C.F.R. Rule1.75(d)(1). The terms and the phrases used in the claims must find clear support or antecedent basis in the description so that the meaning of the terms in the claims may be ascertainable by reference to the description. As an example, "a conductor track connection" was not identified in the specification. Correction is required regarding this term and applicant should review all of the claims to verify that the terms used have clear support or antecedent basis in the description so that the meaning of the terms in the claims may be ascertainable by reference to the description.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Regarding claim 1, nothing is claimed positively ("I claim in a connecting terminal . . ."), the claim is merely a prepositional phrase with no object, therefore it is unclear what is being claimed. Also, in light of the specification, it is unclear in reference to the specification what structures are being identified as the "connecting structure," "the connecting terminal, and "the conductor track connection." For analysis, it assumed that applicant is claiming a terminal.

Application/Control Number: 10/650,053

Art Unit: 2833

Regarding claims 10 and 11, the limitation that the connecting structure is "substantially flat" is unclear and ambiguous because that part identified as the "connecting structure" in the specification (reference number 4) is not flat (see figure 2). The limitations are given little weight.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in --

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a);

Claims 1, 2, 9-13, and 15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kollman.

Regarding claims 1, 9-13, and 15, Kollman discloses a connecting terminal (see figure 2) having a connecting structure with a device for contacting at least one conductor to be connected via the connecting terminal and with a conductor track connection for connecting the connecting terminal to a profiled protective conductor bar comprising the conductor track connection being integrally formed in one piece with the connecting structure.

Per claim 2, said conductor track connection is formed with a base section and a

Page 4

AII OIIII. 2000

resilient latching section.

Claims 1 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Woertz. Woertz discloses a connecting terminal having a connecting structure with a device for contacting at least one conductor to be connected via the connecting terminal and with a conductor track connection for connecting the connecting terminal to a profiled protective conductor bar comprising the conductor track connection being integrally formed in one piece with the connecting structure wherein said device for contacting the conductor includes at least one screw terminal element disposed on said connecting structure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kollman in view of Glaesel.

Regarding claims 3-8, Kollman does not disclose locking sections. Glaesel discloses locking sections. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to replace the Kollman elements 6 with the Glaesal locking sections. The suggestion or motivation for doing so would have been to facilitate attachment to various different rails as taught in Glaesal.

Art Unit: 2833

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ross Gushi whose telephone number is (571) 272-2005. If attempts to reach the examiner by phone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula A. Bradley, can be reached at 571-272-2800 extension 33. The phone number for the Group's facsimile is (703) 872-9306.

ROSS GUSHI